



TITLE:

# Self-similar solutions to a parabolic system modelling chemotaxis (Nonlinear evolution equations and applications)

AUTHOR(S):

Naito, Yuki; Suzuki, Takashi; Yoshida, Kiyoshi

---

CITATION:

Naito, Yuki ...[et al]. Self-similar solutions to a parabolic system modelling chemotaxis (Nonlinear evolution equations and applications). 数理解析研究所講究録 2001, 1197: 189-195

ISSUE DATE:

2001-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/64865>

RIGHT:

# Self-similar solutions to a parabolic system modelling chemotaxis

Yūki Naito (内藤 雄基)

Department of Applied Mathematics, Kobe University (神戸大工)

Takashi Suzuki (鈴木 貴)

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University (大阪大理)

Kiyoshi Yoshida (吉田 清)

Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Hiroshima University (広島大総合)

We are concerned with the system of partial differential equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla v) \\ \tau \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v - \gamma v + u \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ , where  $\tau > 0$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$  are constants. This system is a mathematical model of chemotaxis (aggregation of organisms sensitive to the gradient of chemical substance) proposed by Keller and Segel [12] in 1970. The functions  $u(x, t)$  and  $v(x, t)$  in (1.1) denote the cell density of cellular slime molds and the concentration of the chemical substance at place  $x$  and time  $t$ , respectively. It is assumed that  $u$  and  $v$  are nonnegative.

We deal with a special class of solutions which are called self-similar solutions. If  $\gamma = 0$ , then the system (1.1) is invariant under the similarity transformation

$$u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^2 u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\lambda(x, t) = v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ , that is, if  $(u, v)$  is a solution of (1.1) globally in time, then so is  $(u_\lambda, v_\lambda)$ . A solution  $(u, v)$  is said to be *self-similar*, when the solution is invariant under this transformation, that is,

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, t) = u_\lambda(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, t) = v_\lambda(x, t) \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

Letting  $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{t}$  in (1.2), we see that  $(u, v)$  has the form

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, t) = \frac{1}{t} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, t) = \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t > 0$ . By a direct computation it is shown that  $(u, v)$  satisfies (1.1) if and only if  $(\phi, \psi)$  satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi - \phi \nabla \psi) + \frac{1}{2} x \cdot \nabla \phi + \phi = 0 \\ \Delta \psi + \frac{\tau}{2} x \cdot \nabla \psi + \psi = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, t) dx = t^{(N-2)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(y) dy$$

for  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Therefore self-similar solution  $(u, v)$  preserves the mass  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  if and only if  $N = 2$ . Henceforth we study the case  $N = 2$ . We are concerned with the classical solutions  $(\phi, \psi) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  of (1.4) satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \phi, \psi \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x), \psi(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Define the solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  of (1.4) as

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{S} = \{(\phi, \psi) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : (\phi, \psi) \text{ is a solution of (1.4) with (1.5)}\}.$$

The problem of existence of self-similar solutions has been studied extensively. The existence of radial solutions  $(\phi, \psi)$  of (1.4) has been obtained by Mizutani and Nagai [15]. It has shown by Biler [1] that there is an upper bound on the mass of self-similar solutions. More precisely, the system (1.4) with  $\tau = 1$  has no radial solutions  $(\phi, \psi)$  satisfying  $\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}/2\pi \geq 7.82\dots$ . Furthermore, for every  $M \in (0, 8\pi)$ , there exists a radial solution  $(\phi, \psi)$  satisfying  $\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = M$ . In this paper we investigate the structure of the solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  defined by (1.6) more precisely.

First we show the system (1.4) is reduced to a single ordinary differential equation. Put

$$(1.7) \quad \phi(x) = \sigma e^{-|x|^2/4} e^{\psi(x)},$$

where  $\sigma$  is a positive constant. Then  $\phi$  satisfies the first equation of (1.4), and so if we find a solutions  $\psi$  of

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta \psi + \frac{\tau}{2} x \cdot \nabla \psi + \sigma e^{-|x|^2/4} e^{\psi} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

we can obtain the solution  $(\phi, \psi)$  of (1.4). In [15, 16] they have shown the existence of radial solutions  $\psi$  of (1.8) satisfying

$$(1.9) \quad \psi(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

by investigating the corresponding ordinary differential equation. Conversely, we have following:

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $(\phi, \psi)$  is a nonnegative solution of (1.4) satisfying  $\phi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are positive and satisfy (1.7), where  $\sigma > 0$  is a constant. Assume furthermore that (1.9) holds. Then  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are radially symmetric about the origin, and satisfy  $\phi_r < 0$  and  $\psi_r < 0$  for  $r > 0$ , and*

$$\phi(x) = O(e^{-|x|^2/4}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = O(e^{-\min\{\tau, 1\}|x|^2/4}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 consists of two steps. First we reduce the system (1.4) to the equation (1.8) by employing the Liouville type result essentially due to Meyers and Serrin [14]. Then we show the radial symmetry of solutions by the method of moving planes. This device was first developed by Serrin [23] in PDE theory, and later extended and generalized by Gidas, Ni, and Nirenberg [6, 7]. With a change of variables we are still able to obtain a symmetry result for the equation (1.8) as in [18].

Next we investigate the structure of the solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  defined by (1.6). From Theorem 1, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  contains nonnegative solutions  $(\phi, \psi)$  satisfying  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and (1.9). For  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are radially symmetric about the origin, and satisfy  $\phi, \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  is written by one parameter families  $(\phi(s), \psi(s))$  on  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S} = \{(\phi(s), \psi(s)) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . The solutions  $(\phi(s), \psi(s))$  and  $\lambda(s) = \|\phi(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$  satisfy the following properties:*

- (i)  $s \mapsto (\phi(s), \psi(s)) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $s \mapsto \lambda(s) \in \mathbb{R}$  are continuous;
- (ii)  $(\phi(s), \psi(s)) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  in  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\lambda(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\psi(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\lambda(s) \rightarrow 8m\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(s)dx \rightarrow 8m\pi\delta_0(dx) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty \text{ in the sense of measure}$$

for some integer  $m$  satisfying  $1 \leq m \leq \max\{1, [\pi^2\tau^2/6]\}$ , where  $[a]$  is the greatest integer not exceeding  $a$  and  $\delta_0(dx)$  denotes Dirac's delta function with the support in origin. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-|y|^2/4} e^{\psi(s)} dy \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty;$$

- (iv) Let  $\lambda^* = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda(s)$ . Then  $8m\pi \leq \lambda^* \leq \max\{4\pi^3/3, 4\pi^3\tau^2/3\}$ ;
- (v) If  $0 < \tau \leq 1/2$  then  $0 < \lambda(s) < 8\pi$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 2 we obtain the following:

**Corollary 1.** *There exist an integer  $m$  and a constant  $\lambda^*$  satisfying*

$$1 \leq m \leq \max\{1, [\pi^2 \tau^2 / 6]\} \quad \text{and} \quad 8m\pi \leq \lambda^* \leq \max\{4\pi^3 / 3, 4\pi^3 \tau^2 / 3\},$$

*respectively, such that*

- (i) *for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ , there exists a solution  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfying  $\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \lambda$ ;*
- (ii) *for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , there exists no solution  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfying  $\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \lambda$ ;*
- (iii) *there exists a sequence  $(\phi_k, \psi_k) \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfying  $\phi_k dx \rightarrow 8m\pi\delta_0(dx)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the sense of measure.*

*Moreover, if  $0 < \tau \leq 1/2$  then  $m = 1$  and  $\lambda^* = 8\pi$ .*

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the ODE arguments. Furthermore, we employ the blow-up analysis by Brezis-Merle [2] and Li-Shafir [13] to investigate the asymptotic behavior of  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}$  as  $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \rightarrow \infty$ . To show the upper bounds of the mass of  $\phi$  we use the techniques due to Bilar [1]. Let  $\lambda = \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ . From (1.7) it follows that

$$\lambda = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-|y|^2/4} e^{\psi(y)} dy.$$

Then (1.8) is rewritten as the elliptic equation with a non-local term

$$(1.10) \quad \Delta\psi + \frac{\tau}{2} x \cdot \nabla\psi + \lambda e^{-|x|^2/4} e^{\psi} / \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-|y|^2/4} e^{\psi(y)} dy = 0$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . This equation plays an important role to investigate the blow-up properties of  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Finally, we obtain the result concerning the existence of solutions to (1.8) with (1.9). This refines the previous results [15, Theorem 1], [16, Theorems 1 and 2], and [17, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 3.** *For any  $\tau > 0$  there exists  $\sigma^* > 0$  such that*

- (i) *if  $\sigma > \sigma^*$ , then (1.8) with (1.9) has no solution;*
- (ii) *if  $\sigma = \sigma^*$ , (1.8) with (1.9) has at least one solution;*
- (iii) *if  $0 < \sigma < \sigma^*$ , then (1.8) with (1.9) has at least two distinct solutions  $\underline{\psi}_\sigma, \bar{\psi}_\sigma$  satisfying  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \underline{\psi}_\sigma(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \bar{\psi}_\sigma(0) = \infty$ .*

Recently, attentions have been paid to blowup problems for the system (1.1) for  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$  subject to the boundary and initial condition

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, 0) = v_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\nu$  is the outer normal unit vector. Childress and Percus [4] and Childress [3] have studied the stationary problem and have conjectured that there exists a threshold  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 8\pi$  of blowup, that is, if  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} < 8\pi$  then the solution  $(u, v)$  exists globally in time, and if  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} > 8\pi$  then  $u(x, t)$  can form a delta function singularity in finite time. Their arguments were heuristic, while recent studies are supporting their validity rigorously, see, [21]. We also refer to [9], [19], and [22].

On the other hand, it is asserted that self-similar solutions take an important role for the Cauchy problem for the semilinear parabolic equations on the whole space, see, e.g., [5], [10], and [11]. By the definition, self-similar solutions are global in time, and they are expected to describe large time behavior of general solutions generically. From Corollary 1, we are led to the following conjectures for the Cauchy problem (1.1) with  $\gamma = 0$ .

*For  $0 < \tau \leq 1/2$ , if  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < 8\pi$  then the solution of the Cauchy problem exists globally in time, and if  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} > 8\pi$  then the solution can blowup in a finite time.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Biler, Local and global solvability of some parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **8**(1998), 715–743.
- [2] H. Brezis and F. Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$  in two dimensions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1223–1253.
- [3] S. Childress, Chemotactic collapse in two dimensions, Lecture Notes in Biomath., **55**, Springer, 1984, 217–237.
- [4] S. Childress and J. K. Percus, Nonlinear aspects of chemotaxis, Math. Biosci. **56**(1981), 217–237.
- [5] M. Escobedo and O. Kavian, Variational problems related to self-similar solutions for the heat equation, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **11** (1987), 1103–1133.
- [6] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209–243.

- [7] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , in "Mathematical Analysis and Applications, part A" (L. Nachbin, Ed.), Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud., Vol. 7, 369–402, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [8] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of the second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [9] W. Jäger and S. Luckhaus, On explosions of solutions to a system of partial differential equations modelling chemotaxis, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **329** (1992), 819–824.
- [10] O. Kavian, Remarks on the large time behavior of a nonlinear diffusion equation, Annal. Institut Henri Poincaré-Analyse Nonlinéaire **4** (1987), 423–452.
- [11] T. Kawanago, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a semilinear heat equation with subcritical nonlinearity, Annal. Institut Henri Poincaré-Analyse Nonlinéaire **13** (1996), 1–15.
- [12] E. F. Keller and L. A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, J. Theor. Biol. **26** (1970), 399–415.
- [13] Y.-Y. Li and I. Shafrir, Blow-up analysis for solutions of  $-\Delta u = Ve^u$  in dimension two, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 1255–1270.
- [14] N. Meyers and J. Serrin, The exterior Dirichlet problem for second order elliptic partial differential equations, J. Math. Mech. **9** (1960), 513–538.
- [15] Y. Mizutani and T. Nagai, Self-similar radial solutions to a system of partial differential equations modelling chemotaxis, Bull. Kyushu Inst. Tech. (Math. Natur. Sci), **42** (1995), 19–28.
- [16] Y. Mizutani, N. Muramoto and K. Yoshida, Self-similar radial solutions to a parabolic system modelling chemotaxis via variational method, Hiroshima Math. J. **29** (1999), 145–160.
- [17] N. Muramoto, Y. Naito and K. Yoshida, Existence of self-similar solutions to a parabolic system modelling chemotaxis, Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math. (to appear)
- [18] Y. Naito and T. Suzuki, Radial symmetry of self-similar solutions for semilinear heat equations, J. Differential Equations **163** (2000), 407–428.

- [19] T. Nagai, Blow-up of radially symmetric solutions to a chemotaxis system, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 5 (1995), 581-601.
- [20] M. Protter and H. Weinberger, "Maximum Principles in Differential Equations," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1967.
- [21] T. Senba and T. Suzuki, Chemotactic collapse in a parabolic-elliptic system of mathematical biology, *Adv. Differential Equations* (to appear)
- [22] T. Senba and T. Suzuki, Parabolic system of Chemotaxis: blow-up in a finite and the infinite time, preprint.
- [23] J. Serrin, A symmetry problem in potential theory, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 43 (1971), 304-318.